arXiv:math/0602058v1 [math.AP] 3 Feb 2006

Dispersive estimates of solutions to the wave equation with a potential in dimensions $n \geq 4$

Georgi Vodev

Abstract

We prove dispersive estimates for solutions to the wave equation with a real-valued potential $V \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $n \geq 4$, satisfying $V(x) = O(\langle x\rangle^{-(n+1)/2-\epsilon})$, $\epsilon > 0$.

1 Introduction and statement of results

Let $V \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $n \geq 4$, be a real-valued function satisfying

$$|V(x)| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{-\delta}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

with constants $C > 0$ and $\delta > (n+1)/2$, where $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$. Denote by G_0 and G the self-adjoint realizations of the operators $-\Delta$ and $-\Delta + V(x)$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. It is well known that the absolutely continuous spectrums of the operators G_0 and G coincide with the interval $[0, +\infty)$. Moreover, by Kato's theorem the operator G has no strictly positive eigenvalues. This implies that G has no strictly positive resonances neither (e.g. see [10]). Throughout this paper, given $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, L^p will denote the space $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Also, given an $a > 0$ denote by $\chi_a \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ a real-valued function supported in the interval $[a, +\infty)$, $\chi_a = 1$ on $[2a, +\infty)$. Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1 *Assume (1.1) fulfilled. Then, for every $a > 0$, $2 \leq p < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ so that the following estimate holds*

$$\left\|e^{it\sqrt{G}}(\sqrt{G})^{-\alpha(n+1)/2}\chi_a(\sqrt{G})\right\|_{L^{p'}\to L^p} \leq C|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}, \quad \forall t \neq 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, $\alpha = 1 - 2/p$. Moreover, for every $a > 0$, $2 \leq p < +\infty$, we have

$$\left\|e^{it\sqrt{G}}(\sqrt{G})^{-\alpha(n-1)}\chi_a(\sqrt{G})\right\|_{L^{p'}\to L^p} \leq C|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}, \quad \forall t \neq 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, $\alpha = 1 - 2/p$, and, $\forall 0 < \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$\left\|e^{it\sqrt{G}}(\sqrt{G})^{-\alpha(n+1)/2}\chi_a(\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha(n/2+\epsilon)}\right\|_{L^2\to L^p} \leq C_\epsilon|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}, \quad \forall t \neq 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Remark 1. It is easy to see from the proof that the estimates (1.3) and (1.4) hold true for $p = +\infty$, $p' = 1$, with $(\sqrt{G})^{-\alpha}$ replaced by $(\sqrt{G})^{-1-\epsilon}$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$.

Remark 2. It is also clear from the proof that the following intermediate estimate between (1.2) and (1.3) holds:

$$\left\| e^{it\sqrt{G}}(\sqrt{G})^{-\alpha((n+1)/2+q)}\chi_a(\sqrt{G})\right\|_{L^{p'}\to L^p} \le C|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}, \quad \forall t\neq 0, \tag{1.5}$$

for every $0<q<(n-3)/2$ and for $2\le p<\frac{2(n-1-2q)}{n-3-2q}$.

Remark 3. The desired result would be to prove (1.2) for $n\ge 4$ and for all $2\le p<+\infty$, but we doubt that such a statement can be proved without imposing extra assumptions on the potential. Since in (1.3) we have, roughly speaking, a loss of $(n-3)/2$ derivatives, it is natural to expect that it suffices to control the behaviour of $(n-3)/2$ (radial) derivatives of V in order that (1.2) holds true for all $2\le p<+\infty$. In the case of the Schrödinger group Goldberg and Visan [8] have recently showed that there exist compactly supported potentials $V\in C^k(\mathrm{R}^n)$, $\forall k<(n-3)/2$, for which the optimal (without loss of derivatives) $L^1\to L^\infty$ dispersive estimate fails to hold, and this is a typical high frequency phenomenon. Similar thing should occur in the case of the wave group, too.

Remark 4. Note that given a smooth, bounded function f supported in the interval $(0,+\infty)$, the operator-valued function $f(\sqrt{G})$ is well defined even if the operator G is not non-negative. In particular, the operators above are well defined.

Remark 5. The operator G may have in general a finite number of eigenvalues $\lambda_j\le 0$ as well as a resonance at zero. We eliminate the influence of these points to the decay properties of the wave group by cutting off with the operator $\chi_a(\sqrt{G})$. It is natural to expect that if the zero is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance of G, the statements of Theorem 1.1 hold true with χ_a replaced by the characteristic function, χ, of the interval $[0,+\infty)$ (the absolutely continuous spectrum of G) and $(\sqrt{G})^{-(n-1)}$ replaced by $(\sqrt{G})^{-(n+1)/2}\langle G\rangle^{-(n-3)/4}$. To prove this, it suffices to show that the estimate (1.2) holds in this case for all $2\le p<+\infty$ with χ_a replaced by $\chi(1-\chi_a)$ with some $a>0$ small enough. The proof of such an estimate, however, requires different techniques than those developed in the present paper.

It is well known that (1.2) for all $2\le p<+\infty$ holds true for the free operator G_0 with $\chi_a\equiv 1$ in all dimensions. Recently, (1.2) for all $2\le p<+\infty$ has been proved in [4] when $n=2$ and $n=3$ by a different method (using some properties of the resolvent of G_0 which are no longer valid when $n\ge 4$). For $n=3$, an analogue of (1.2) (for $2\le p\le 4$) is proved by Georgiev and Visciglia [7] for non-negative potentials satisfying (1.1) as well as an extra regularity assumption. Beals and Strauss [2] proved an analogue of (1.2) in all dimensions $n\ge 3$ (for $2\le p\le\frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$) for a class of non-negative potentials decaying much faster at infinity than those we consider in the present paper, while Beals [1] proved the estimate (1.2) with a loss of ε-derivatives for $n\ge 3$ and all $2\le p\le+\infty$ for potentials belonging to the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Recently, in [5] an analogue of (1.2) with $n=3$ has been proved for a class of potentials satisfying (1.1) with $4/3<\delta\le 2$, but with a weaker decay as $|t|\to+\infty$. Note also the work [3], where a better time decay than that in (1.2) has been obtained on weighted L^p spaces for potentials satisfying (1.1) with $n=3$ and $\delta>2$. In the case $n=3$ D'ancona and Pierfelice [6] obtained dispersive estimates for real-valued potentials, V, belonging to a quite large subset of the Kato class (with a small Kato norm of the negative part of V). Assuming additionally that G has no real resonances, they proved the estimate

$$\left\|\frac{\sin\left(t\sqrt{G}\right)}{\sqrt{G}}\right\|_{\dot{B}^1_{1,1}\to L^\infty}\le C|t|^{-1}, \quad \forall t\neq 0, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\dot{B}^1_{1,1}$ is the homogeneous Besov space. Note that a similar estimate to (1.6) (with $\dot{B}^1_{1,1}$ replaced by $W^{1,1}$) has been recently proved by Krieger and Schlag (see Section 5 of [9]) still in dimension $n=3$ for potentials satisfying (1.1) with $\delta>3$.

Our method is quite different from those used in the papers mentioned above. It consists of reducing the estimates (1.2)-(1.4) to *semi-classical* ones (see Theorem 4.1) valid for all $2\le p\le+\infty$, which in turn makes easier applying interpolation arguments. On the other hand, the estimates of Theorem 4.1 are reduced to uniform $L^2\to L^2$ estimates for the operator $e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})$, $0<h\le 1$, $\varphi\in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$. These latter estimates are proved in Section 3, using the properties of both the free and the perturbed resolvents on weighted L^2 spaces. In Section 2 we prove some properties of the free wave group $e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}$ (see Proposition 2.1), which play an essential role in our proof of the above estimates. Note that the method presented here works also for $n=2$ and $n=3$, but in this case a simpler proof (of (1.2) for all $2\le p<+\infty$) is given in [4]. That is why in the present paper we will treat the case of $n\ge4$ only.

Similar ideas have been used in [11] to prove $L^1\to L^\infty$ dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger group e^{itG} in the case $n=3$ for potentials satisfying (1.1) with $\delta>5/2$. The method of [11] actually works in all dimensions $n\ge3$ for potentials satisfying (1.1) with $\delta>(n+2)/2$ (see [12]) and gives $L^1\to L^\infty$ dispersive estimates for e^{itG} with the right time decay for $|t|\gg1$, but with a loss of $(n-3)/2$ derivatives similarly to the dispersive estimate (1.3) for the wave group.

2 Preliminary estimates

The following properties of the free wave group will play a key role in the proof of our dispersive estimates.

Proposition 2.1 *Let* $\varphi\in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$. *For every* $0\le s\le(n-1)/2$, $0<\epsilon\ll1$, $0<h\le1$, *we have*

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^{-s}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\le C\langle t\rangle^{-s},\quad\forall t,\tag{2.1}$$

$$\left\|e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\right\|_{L^1\to L^\infty}\le Ch^{-(n+1)/2}|t|^{-(n-1)/2},\quad\forall t\ne0,\tag{2.2}$$

$$\left\|e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}\right\|_{L^2\to L^\infty}\le Ch^{-(n+1)/2}|t|^{-s},\quad\forall t\ne0,\tag{2.3}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|t|^{2s}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})f\right\|_{L^2}^2dt\le Ch^{-n}\|f\|_{L^1}^2,\quad\forall f\in L^1,\tag{2.4}$$

with a constant $C>0$ *independent of* t, h *and* f.

Proof. We will prove these estimates for all $n\ge2$. We are going to take advantage of the formula

$$e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})=(\pi i)^{-1}\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda}\varphi(h\lambda)\left(R_0^+(\lambda)-R_0^-(\lambda)\right)\lambda d\lambda,\tag{2.5}$$

where $R_0^\pm(\lambda)$ are the outgoing and incoming free resolvents with kernels given in terms of the Hankel functions by

$$[R_0^\pm(\lambda)](x,y)=\pm\frac{i}{4}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi|x-y|}\right)^\nu H_\nu^\pm(\lambda|x-y|),$$

where $\nu=(n-2)/2$. Hence, the kernel of the operator $e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})$ is of the form $K_h(|x-y|,t)$, where

$$K_h(\sigma,t)=\frac{\sigma^{-2\nu}}{(2\pi)^{\nu+1}}\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda}\varphi(h\lambda)\mathcal{J}_\nu(\sigma\lambda)\lambda d\lambda, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_\nu(z)=z^\nu J_\nu(z),\ J_\nu(z)=(H_\nu^+(z)+H_\nu^-(z))/2$ is the Bessel function of order ν. We will first show that the above estimates follow from the following

Lemma 2.2 *For every* $0\le s\le(n-1)/2,\ \sigma>0,\ t\ne 0,\ 0<h\le 1,$ *we have*

$$|K_h(\sigma,t)|\le C|t|^{-s}h^{-(n+1)/2}\sigma^{-(n-1)/2+s}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |t|^{2s}\left|K_h(\sigma,t)\right|^2dt\le Ch^{-n}\sigma^{-(n-1)+2s}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\int_0^{|t|/2}\sigma^{n-1}\left|K_h(\sigma,t)\right|d\sigma\le C|t|^{-(n-1)/2},\quad |t|\ge 1, \tag{2.9}$$

with a constant $C>0$ *independent of* σ*,* t *and* h*.*

Clearly, (2.1) is trivial for $|t|\le 1$, so we may suppose that $|t|\ge 1$. Given a set $\mathcal{M}\subset\mathbf{R}^n$ denote by $\eta(\mathcal{M})$ the characteristic function of $\mathcal{M}$. We have

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^{-s}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}$$

$$\le\left\|\eta(|x|\le|t|/4)e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\eta(|x|\le|t|/4)\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}+C\langle t\rangle^{-s}. \tag{2.10}$$

In view of Schur's lemma the norm in the RHS of (2.10) is upper bounded by

$$\sup_{|x|\le|t|/4}\int_{|y|\le|t|/4}|K_h(|x-y|,t)|dy\le\int_{|\xi|\le|t|/2}|K_h(|\xi|,t)|d\xi$$

$$\le C\int_0^{|t|/2}\sigma^{n-1}\left|K_h(\sigma,t)\right|d\sigma\le C|t|^{-(n-1)/2}, \tag{2.11}$$

where we have used (2.9). Now (2.1) follows from (2.10) and (2.11). Clearly, (2.2) follows from (2.7) with $s=(n-1)/2$. To prove (2.3) observe that in view of (2.7) we have

$$\left\|e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}\right\|^2_{L^2\to L^\infty}\le\sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}|K_h(|x-y|,t)|^2\langle x\rangle^{-1-2s-2\epsilon}dx$$

$$\le Ch^{-n-1}|t|^{-2s}\sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}|x-y|^{-(n-1)+2s}\langle x\rangle^{-1-2s-2\epsilon}dx\le Ch^{-n-1}|t|^{-2s}, \tag{2.12}$$

which is the desired result. To prove (2.4) observe that for any $f\in L^1$, we have

$$\left|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})f\right|\le\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}|K_h(|x-y|,t)||f(y)|dy$$

$$\le\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\langle x\rangle^{-1-2s-2\epsilon}|K_h(|x-y|,t)|^2|f(y)|dy\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}|f(y)|dy\right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence,

$$\left\| \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})f\right\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|f\|_{L^1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\langle x\rangle^{-1-2s-2\epsilon}|K_h(|x-y|,t)|^2|f(y)|dxdy.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|t|^{2s}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})f\right\|_{L^2}^2dt\\ &\le \|f\|_{L^1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\langle x\rangle^{-1-2s-2\epsilon}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|t|^{2s}|K_h(|x-y|,t)|^2dt|f(y)|dxdy\\ &\le \|f\|_{L^1}^2\sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\langle x\rangle^{-1-2s-2\epsilon}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|t|^{2s}|K_h(|x-y|,t)|^2dtdx\\ &\le Ch^{-n}\|f\|_{L^1}^2\sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\langle x\rangle^{-1-2s-2\epsilon}|x-y|^{-(n-1)+2s}dx\le Ch^{-n}\|f\|_{L^1}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where we have used (2.8), which is the desired result.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. It is well known that the function $\mathcal{J}_\nu(z)$ satisfies the bounds

$$\left|\partial_z^k\mathcal{J}_\nu(z)\right|\le C_kz^{(n-3)/2},\quad z\ge 1, \tag{2.14}$$

for every integer $k\ge 0$, while near $z=0$ the function $\mathcal{J}_\nu(z)$ is equal to $z^{2\nu}$ times an even analytic function. Therefore, when $n\ge 3$, we have

$$\left|\partial_z^k\mathcal{J}_\nu(z)\right|\le Cz^{n-2-k},\quad 0<z\le 1, \tag{2.15}$$

for all integers $0\le k\le n-2$. For $n=2$, we have

$$\left|\partial_z^k\mathcal{J}_0(z)\right|\le Cz^{k},\quad 0<z\le 1,\ k=0,1. \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, when $n\ge 3$, we obtain

$$\left|\partial_z^k\mathcal{J}_\nu(z)\right|\le Cz^{n-2-k}\langle z\rangle^{-(n-1)/2+k},\quad \forall z>0, \tag{2.17}$$

for all integers $0\le k\le n-2$. For $n=2$, we obtain

$$\left|\partial_z^k\mathcal{J}_0(z)\right|\le Cz^{k}\langle z\rangle^{-1/2-k},\quad \forall z>0,\ k=0,1. \tag{2.18}$$

Let $n\ge 3$ and let m be any integer such that $0\le m\le n-2$. Integrating by parts m times the integral in (2.6) and using (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|t^mK_h(\sigma,t)|&\le h^{-1}\sigma^{-2\nu}\left|\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda}\partial_\lambda^m\left(\widetilde{\varphi}(h\lambda)\mathcal{J}_\nu(\sigma\lambda)\right)d\lambda\right|\\ &\le Ch^{-1}\sigma^{-2\nu}\sum_{k=0}^m h^{m-k}\sigma^k\int_0^\infty\left|\frac{\partial^{m-k}\widetilde{\varphi}}{\partial\lambda^{m-k}}(h\lambda)\right|\left|\frac{\partial^k\mathcal{J}_\nu}{\partial\lambda^k}(\sigma\lambda)\right|d\lambda\\ &\le Ch^{-2}\sigma^{-2\nu}\sum_{k=0}^m h^{m-k}\sigma^k\int_{\operatorname{supp}\varphi}\left|\frac{\partial^k\mathcal{J}_\nu}{\partial\lambda^k}(\sigma\lambda/h)\right|d\lambda\end{aligned}$$

$$\le Ch^{-2}\sigma^{-2\nu}\sum_{k=0}^{m} h^{m-k}\sigma^k(\sigma/h)^{n-2-k}\langle\sigma/h\rangle^{-(n-1)/2+k}$$

$$\le Ch^{m-n}\langle\sigma/h\rangle^{-(n-1)/2+m}, \tag{2.19}$$

where $\widetilde{\varphi}(\lambda)=\lambda\varphi(\lambda)$. Clearly, (2.19) holds for all real $0\le m\le n-2$, and in particular for $m=s$, $0\le s\le(n-1)/2$. When $n=2$ one can see in the same way, using (2.18) instead of (2.17), that (2.19) still holds for $m=0$ and $m=1$, and hence for all real $0\le m\le 1$. In particular, (2.19) holds in this case with $m=s$, $0\le s\le 1/2$. Now (2.7) follows from (2.19) (with $m=s$) and the inequality

$$\langle\sigma/h\rangle^{-(n-1)/2+s}\le h^{(n-1)/2-s}\sigma^{-(n-1)/2+s},\quad 0\le s\le(n-1)/2.$$

Let $n\ge 3$ and let m be any integer such that $0\le m\le n-2$. By Plancherel's identity and (2.6), (2.17), we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|t|^{2m}\left|K_h(\sigma,t)\right|^2dt=Ch^{-2}\sigma^{-4\nu}\int_0^\infty\left|\partial_\lambda^m\left(\widetilde{\varphi}(h\lambda)\mathcal{J}_\nu(\sigma\lambda)\right)\right|^2d\lambda$$

$$\le Ch^{-2}\sigma^{-4\nu}\sum_{k=0}^{m}h^{2(m-k)}\sigma^{2k}\int_0^\infty\left|\frac{\partial^{m-k}\widetilde{\varphi}}{\partial\lambda^{m-k}}(h\lambda)\right|^2\left|\frac{\partial^k\mathcal{J}_\nu}{\partial\lambda^k}(\sigma\lambda)\right|^2d\lambda$$

$$\le Ch^{-3}\sigma^{-4\nu}\sum_{k=0}^{m}h^{2(m-k)}\sigma^{2k}\int_{\operatorname{supp}\varphi}\left|\frac{\partial^k\mathcal{J}_\nu}{\partial\lambda^k}(\sigma\lambda/h)\right|^2d\lambda$$

$$\le Ch^{-3}\sigma^{-4\nu}\sum_{k=0}^{m}h^{2(m-k)}\sigma^{2k}(\sigma/h)^{2(n-2-k)}\langle\sigma/h\rangle^{-(n-1)+2k}$$

$$\le Ch^{2m-2n+1}\langle\sigma/h\rangle^{-(n-1)+2m}. \tag{2.20}$$

Clearly, (2.20) holds for all real $0\le m\le n-2$, and in particular for $m=s$, $0\le s\le(n-1)/2$. When $n=2$ it is easy to see, using (2.18) instead of (2.17), that (2.20) still holds for $m=0$ and $m=1$, and hence for all real $0\le m\le 1$. Now (2.8) follows from (2.20) in the same way as above. To prove (2.9) we will use that $\mathcal{J}_\nu(z)=e^{iz}b_\nu^+ + e^{-iz}b_\nu^-$, where $b_\nu^\pm(z)$ are symbols of order $(n-3)/2$ for $z\gg 1$, and satisfy the bounds

$$\left|\partial_z^k b_\nu^\pm(z)\right|\le C_k z^{(n-3)/2-k},\quad z\ge z_0, \tag{2.21}$$

for every integer $k\ge 0$ and every $z_0>0$ with a constant $C_k>0$ depending on z_0. Write the function K_h in the form $K_h^+ + K_h^-$, where

$$K_h^\pm(\sigma,t)=\frac{h^{-1}\sigma^{-2\nu}}{(2\pi)^{\nu+1}}\int_0^\infty e^{i(t\pm\sigma)\lambda}\widetilde{\varphi}(h\lambda)b_\nu^\pm(\sigma\lambda)d\lambda, \tag{2.22}$$

$\widetilde{\varphi}$ being as above. By (2.7) we have

$$\int_0^h\sigma^{n-1}|K_h(\sigma;t)|d\sigma\le Ch^{(n-1)/2}|t|^{-(n-1)/2}, \tag{2.23}$$

so it sufices to show that

$$\int_h^{|t|/2} \sigma^{n-1}|K_h^\pm(\sigma;t)|d\sigma \le C|t|^{-(n-1)/2}. \tag{2.24}$$

Integrating by parts n times the integral in (2.22) and using (2.21), we obtain, for $h \le \sigma \le |t|/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|t^n K_h^\pm(\sigma,t)\right| &\le Ch^{-1}\sigma^{-2\nu}\int_0^\infty \left|\partial_\lambda^n\left(\widetilde{\varphi}(h\lambda)b_\nu^\pm(\sigma\lambda)\right)\right| d\lambda \\ &\le Ch^{-1}\sigma^{-2\nu}\sum_{k=0}^n h^{n-k}\sigma^k\int_0^\infty \left|\frac{\partial^{n-k}\widetilde{\varphi}}{\partial\lambda^{n-k}}(h\lambda)\right|\left|\frac{\partial^k b_\nu^\pm}{\partial\lambda^k}(\sigma\lambda)\right| d\lambda \\ &\le Ch^{-2}\sigma^{-2\nu}\sum_{k=0}^n h^{n-k}\sigma^k\int_{\operatorname{supp}\varphi}\left|\frac{\partial^k b_\nu^\pm}{\partial\lambda^k}(\sigma\lambda/h)\right| d\lambda \\ &\le Ch^{-2}\sigma^{-2\nu}\sum_{k=0}^n h^{n-k}\sigma^k(\sigma/h)^{(n-3)/2-k} \le Ch^{(n-1)/2}\sigma^{-(n-1)/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Hence

$$\int_h^{|t|/2} \sigma^{n-1}|K_h^\pm(\sigma;t)|d\sigma \le Ch^{(n-1)/2}|t|^{-n}\int_h^{|t|/2}\sigma^{(n-1)/2}d\sigma \le Ch^{(n-1)/2}|t|^{-(n-1)/2},$$

which implies (2.24). □

We will also need the following

Lemma 2.3 *Assume (1.1) fulfilled. Then, for every* $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$, $0 \le s \le \delta$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, $0 < h \le 1$, *we have*

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C, \tag{2.26}$$

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\left\|\left(\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0}) - \varphi(h\sqrt{G})\right)\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le Ch^2, \tag{2.28}$$

$$\left\|\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\right\|_{L^p\to L^p} \le C, \tag{2.29}$$

$$\left\|\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\right\|_{L^p\to L^p} \le C, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\left\|\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) - \varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\right\|_{L^p\to L^p} \le Ch^2, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\left\|\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\right\|_{L^2\to L^p} \le Ch^{-n|\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}|}, \tag{2.32}$$

$$\left\|\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\right\|_{L^2\to L^p} \le Ch^{-n|\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}|}, \tag{2.33}$$

$$\left\|\left(\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) - \varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\right)\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^p} \le Ch^{2-n|\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}|}, \tag{2.34}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ *independent of* h.

Proof. Define the function $\psi \in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$ by $\psi(\sigma^2) = \varphi(\sigma)$. We will make use of the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula

$$\psi(h^2 G) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \overline{z}}(z)(h^2 G - z)^{-1} L(dz), \tag{2.35}$$

where $L(dz)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{C}$, and $\widetilde{\psi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{C})$ is an almost analytic continuation of ψ supported in a small complex neighbourhood of $\operatorname{supp}\psi$ and satisfying

$$\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \overline{z}}(z)\right| \le C_N |\operatorname{Im} z|^N, \quad \forall N \ge 1.$$

Therefore, (2.26) would follow from the bound

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}(h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-q_1}, \tag{2.36}$$

for $z \in \mathbf{C}_\psi := \operatorname{supp}\widetilde{\psi}$, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, with some constants $C, q_1 > 0$ independent of h and z. To prove (2.36) we will use the identity

$$\langle x\rangle^{-s}(h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^s = (h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1} + h^2\langle x\rangle^{-s}(h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}[\Delta, \langle x\rangle^s](h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1},$$

together with the bound

$$\left\|(h\nabla_x)^j (h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-1}, \quad j = 0, 1. \tag{2.37}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}(h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C\left\|(h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}$$

$$+Ch^2\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}(h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{s-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\left(\left\|\nabla_x (h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} + \left\|(h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\right)$$

$$\le C|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-1}\left(1 + h\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}(h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{s-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\right).$$

Repeating this a finite number of times leads to (2.36).

The estimate (2.27) follows from (2.26) and (2.28). To prove (2.28) we use (2.35) to obtain

$$\left\|\left(\psi(h^2 G) - \psi(h^2 G_0)\right)\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}$$

$$\le O(h^2)\int_{\mathbf{C}}\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \overline{z}}(z)\right|\left\|(h^2 G - z)^{-1} V (h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} L(dz)$$

$$\le O_N(h^2)\int_{\mathbf{C}_\psi}|\operatorname{Im} z|^N\left\|(h^2 G - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}(h^2 G - z)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} L(dz),$$

which together with (2.36) and (2.43) below imply the desired result.

The estimate (2.29) follows from (2.35) and the bound

$$\left\|(h^2 G_0 - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^p\to L^p} \le C|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-q_2}, \tag{2.38}$$

for $z \in \mathbf{C}_\psi$, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, with some constants $C, q_2 > 0$ independent of h and z. The estimate (2.30) follows from (2.29) and (2.31). Using (2.35) as above, we obtain

$$\left\|\psi(h^2G) - \psi(h^2G_0)\right\|_{L^p\to L^p}$$

$$\leq O_N(h^2)\int_{\mathbf{C}_\psi} |\operatorname{Im} z|^N \left\|(h^2G - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^p\to L^p} \left\|(h^2G_0 - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^p\to L^p} L(dz). \tag{2.39}$$

Thus, (2.31) follows from (2.38), (2.39) and the bound

$$\left\|(h^2G - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^p\to L^p} \leq C|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-q_3}, \tag{2.40}$$

for $z \in \mathbf{C}_\psi$, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, with some constants $C, q_3 > 0$ independent of h and z. Observe next that by the resolvent identity we can write

$$(h^2G - z)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{M} h^{2j}\left((h^2G_0 - z)^{-1}V\right)^j (h^2G_0 - z)^{-1}$$

$$+h^{2M+2}\left((h^2G_0 - z)^{-1}V\right)^M (h^2G - z)^{-1}V(h^2G_0 - z)^{-1} \tag{2.41}$$

for every integer $M \geq 1$. Taking M big enough, it is easy to see that (2.40) follows from (2.41) together with (2.38) and the following well known bounds

$$\left\|(h^2G_0 - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^p\to L^2} + \left\|(h^2G_0 - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^p} \leq Ch^{-q}|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-q-1}, \tag{2.42}$$

for $z \in \mathbf{C}_\psi$, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, with a constant $C > 0$ independent of h and z, where $q = n\left|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right|$, and

$$\left\|(h^2G - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \leq |\operatorname{Im} z|^{-1}. \tag{2.43}$$

The estimate (2.32) follows from (2.35) and (2.42), while (2.33) follows from (2.32) and (2.34). To prove (2.34), we use (2.35) to obtain

$$\left\|\left(\psi(h^2G) - \psi(h^2G_0)\right)\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^p}$$

$$\leq O_N(h^2)\int_{\mathbf{C}_\psi} |\operatorname{Im} z|^N \left\|(h^2G - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^p} \left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}(h^2G_0 - z)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^s\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} L(dz). \tag{2.44}$$

Now (2.34) follows from (2.36), (2.44) and the bound

$$\left\|(h^2G - z)^{-1}\right\|_{L^2\to L^p} \leq Ch^{-q}|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-\widetilde{q}}, \tag{2.45}$$

for $z \in \mathbf{C}_\psi$, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, with constants $C, \widetilde{q} > 0$ independent of h and z, where $q = n\left|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right|$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that (2.45) follows from (2.41) combined with (2.38),(2.42) and (2.43). $\square$

3 $L^2 \to L^2$ estimates for the wave group

Given a parameter $0 < h \le 1$ and a real-valued function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$, denote

$$\Phi(t;h) = e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) - e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0}).$$

We will first prove the following

Theorem 3.1 *Assume (1.1) fulfilled. Then we have*

$$\|\Phi(t;h)\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le Ch, \quad \forall t,\, 0 < h \le 1, \tag{3.1}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of t and h.

Proof. We will derive (3.1) from the following

Proposition 3.2 *Assume (1.1) fulfilled. Then, for every $s > 1/2$ and every real-valued function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$ the following estimate holds*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s} e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})f\right\|_{L^2}^2 dt \le C\|f\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall f \in L^2,\, 0 < h \le 1, \tag{3.2}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of h and f.

By Duhamel's formula

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) - e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) &= i\frac{\sin\left(t\sqrt{G_0}\right)}{\sqrt{G_0}}\left(\sqrt{G}\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) - \sqrt{G_0}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\right) \\ &- \int_0^t \frac{\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right)}{\sqrt{G_0}} V e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

we obtain

$$\Phi(t;h) = \Phi_1(t;h) + h\Phi_2(t;h), \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(t;h) &= \left(\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G}) - \varphi_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\right) e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) \\ &+\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G_0})e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}\left(\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) - \varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\right) \\ &-i\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\sin\left(t\sqrt{G_0}\right)\left(\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) - \varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})\right) \\ &+i\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\sin\left(t\sqrt{G_0}\right)\left(\widetilde{\varphi}(h\sqrt{G}) - \widetilde{\varphi}(h\sqrt{G_0})\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi_2(t;h) = -\int_0^t \widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right) V e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})d\tau,$$

where $\varphi_1 \in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$ is a real-valued function such that $\varphi_1\varphi \equiv \varphi$, $\widetilde{\varphi}(\sigma) = \sigma\varphi(\sigma)$, $\widetilde{\varphi}_1(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}\varphi_1(\sigma)$. For all nontrivial $f, g \in L^2$, in view of (2.31), (3.2) and (3.4), we have with $0 < s - 1/2 \ll 1$, $\forall\gamma > 0$,

$$|\langle\Phi(t;h)f, g\rangle| \le O(h^2)\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^2}$$

$$+O(h)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle \langle x\rangle^s V e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})f, \langle x\rangle^{-s}\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\rangle\right|d\tau$$

$$\leq O(h^2)\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^2}+O(h)\gamma\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})f\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau$$

$$+O(h)\gamma^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau$$

$$\leq O(h^2)\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^2}+O(h)\gamma\|f\|_{L^2}^2+O(h)\gamma^{-1}\|g\|_{L^2}^2\leq O(h)\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.5}$$

if we choose $\gamma=\|g\|_{L^2}/\|f\|_{L^2}$, which clearly implies (3.1). □

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbf{R};L^2)$. Clearly, (3.2) is equivalent to the fact that the operator $\mathcal{A}_h : L^2\to\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$\left(\mathcal{A}_h f\right)(x,t)=\langle x\rangle^{-s}e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})f$$

is bounded uniformly in h. Observe that the adjoint $\mathcal{A}_h^* : \mathcal{H}\to L^2$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_h^* f=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s}f(\tau,x)d\tau,$$

so we have, $\forall f,g\in\mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle\mathcal{A}_h\mathcal{A}_h^* f,g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\rho(t,\cdot),g(t,\cdot)\rangle_{L^2}\,dt, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\rho(t,x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle x\rangle^{-s}e^{i(t-\tau)\sqrt{G}}\varphi^2(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s}f(\tau,\cdot)d\tau.$$

Hence, for the Fourier transform, $\hat{\rho}(\lambda,x)$, of $\rho(t,x)$ with respect to the variable t we have

$$\hat{\rho}(\lambda,x)=Q(\lambda)\hat{f}(\lambda,x), \tag{3.7}$$

where $Q(\lambda)$ is the Fourier transform of the operator

$$\langle x\rangle^{-s}e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi^2(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s}.$$

On the other hand, the formula

$$e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi^2(h\sqrt{G})=\frac{1}{\pi i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{it\lambda}\varphi^2(h\lambda)\left(R^+(\lambda)-R^-(\lambda)\right)\lambda d\lambda, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$R^{\pm}(\lambda)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}(G-\lambda^2\pm i\varepsilon)^{-1} : \langle x\rangle^{-s}L^2\to\langle x\rangle^{s}L^2,\quad s>1/2,$$

shows that

$$Q(\lambda)=(\pi i)^{-1}\lambda\varphi^2(h\lambda)\langle x\rangle^{-s}\left(R^+(\lambda)-R^-(\lambda)\right)\langle x\rangle^{-s}. \tag{3.9}$$

Note that the limit exists in view of the limiting absorption principle. Moreover, we have the following

Lemma 3.3 *Assume (1.1) fulfilled. Then we have*

$$\left\| \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-\epsilon} R^{\pm}(\lambda) \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C\lambda^{-1}, \quad \lambda \ge \lambda_0, \tag{3.10}$$

for every $\lambda_0 > 0$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, *with a constant* $C > 0$ *independent of* λ.

Proof. The estimate (3.10) is well known to hold for the free operator G_0, i.e. we have

$$\left\| \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-\epsilon} R_0^{\pm}(\lambda) \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C\lambda^{-1}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{3.11}$$

To show that it holds for the perturbed operator as well, we will take advantage of the identity

$$\langle x\rangle^{-s} R^{\pm}(\lambda) \langle x\rangle^{-s_1} \left(1 + K^{\pm}(\lambda)\right) = \langle x\rangle^{-s} R_0^{\pm}(\lambda) \langle x\rangle^{-s_1}, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$K^{\pm}(\lambda) = \langle x\rangle^{s_1} V R_0^{\pm}(\lambda) \langle x\rangle^{-s}.$$

By (3.11), we have with $1/2 < s_1 \le \delta - 1/2$,

$$\|K^{\pm}(\lambda)\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C\lambda^{-1}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Hence, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ so that we have

$$\left\| \left(1 + K^{\pm}(\lambda)\right)^{-1} \right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le Const, \quad \forall \lambda \ge \lambda_0. \tag{3.14}$$

Moreover, since G has no strictly positive resonances, (3.14) holds for any $\lambda_0 > 0$. Now (3.10) follows from (3.11), (3.12) and (3.14). □

By (3.9) and (3.10) we conclude

$$\|Q(\lambda)\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C \tag{3.15}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of λ and h. By (3.7) and (3.15),

$$\|\hat\rho(\lambda,\cdot)\|_{L^2} \le C \|\hat f(\lambda,\cdot)\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.16}$$

which together with (3.6) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathcal{A}_h \mathcal{A}_h^* f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat\rho(\lambda,\cdot), \hat g(\lambda,\cdot)\rangle_{L^2}\, d\lambda \right| \\ &\le C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\hat f(\lambda,\cdot)\|_{L^2} \|\hat g(\lambda,\cdot)\|_{L^2} d\lambda \\ &\le C\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\hat f(\lambda,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 d\lambda + C\gamma^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\hat g(\lambda,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 d\lambda \\ &= C\gamma \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + C\gamma^{-1} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 2C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

if we take $\gamma = \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}} / \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$, with a constant $C > 0$ independent of h, f and g. It follows from (3.17) that the operator $\mathcal{A}_h \mathcal{A}_h^* : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is bounded uniformly in h, and hence so is the operator $\mathcal{A}_h : L^2 \to \mathcal{H}$. This clearly proves (3.2). □

In what follows in this section we will prove the following

Theorem 3.4 *Assume (1.1) fulfilled. Then, for every real-valued function* $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$ *and every* $0 \le s \le (n-1)/2$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, *we have*

$$\left\| \langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon} e^{it\sqrt{G}} \varphi(h\sqrt{G}) \langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C\langle t\rangle^{-s}, \quad \forall t,\ 0 < h \le 1, \tag{3.18}$$

with a constant $C>0$ *independent of* t *and* h.

Proof. We will derive (3.18) from the following estimates

Proposition 3.5 *Assume (1.1) fulfilled. Then, for every real-valued function* $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$ *and every* $0 \le s \le (n-1)/2$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $0 < h \le 1$, *we have*

$$\left\| \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} e^{it\sqrt{G}} \varphi(\sqrt{G}) \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C\langle t\rangle^{-s}, \quad \forall t, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{2s} \left\| \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} e^{it\sqrt{G}} \varphi(h\sqrt{G}) \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} f \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt \le C\|f\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall f \in L^2, \tag{3.20}$$

with a constant $C>0$ *independent of* t, h *and* f.

We will first show that (3.18) with $0 \le s \le (n-1)/2$ follows from (3.18) with $s = (n-1)/2$. To this end, consider the operator-valued function

$$P(z) = \langle t\rangle^{z} \langle x\rangle^{-z-\epsilon} e^{it\sqrt{G}} \varphi(h\sqrt{G}) \langle x\rangle^{-z-\epsilon}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Clearly, $P(z)$ is analytic in z for $\operatorname{Re} z \ge 0$ with values in $\mathcal{L}(L^2)$ and satisfies the trivial bounds

$$\|P(z)\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C\langle t\rangle^{\operatorname{Re} z}, \quad 0 \le \operatorname{Re} z \le (n-1)/2. \tag{3.21}$$

On the other hand, supposing that (3.18) holds with $s=(n-1)/2$ implies

$$\|P(z)\|_{L^2\to L^2} \le C \tag{3.22}$$

on $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} z = (n-1)/2$, with a constant $C>0$ independent of z, t and h. It follows now from (3.21), (3.22) and the Phragmèn-Lindelöf principle that (3.22) holds for $0 \le \operatorname{Re} z \le (n-1)/2$, which is the desired result.

Using (1.1), (2.1) and (2.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon} \Phi_1(t;h) \langle x\rangle^{-s_1-\epsilon} f \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\le O(h^2) \left\| \langle x\rangle^{-(n+1)/2-\epsilon} \Phi(t;h) \langle x\rangle^{-s_1-\epsilon} f \right\|_{L^2} + O(h^2)\langle t\rangle^{-s}\|f\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall f \in L^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

for all $s_1 \ge s \ge 0$. Using (1.1), (2.1) and (3.20), we have $\forall f, g \in L^2$, with $s=(n-1)/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle t\rangle^{s} \left| \left\langle \langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon} \Phi_2(t;h) \langle x\rangle^{-s-1/2-\epsilon} f, g \right\rangle \right| \\ &\le \langle t\rangle^{s} \int_0^t \left| \left\langle \langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon} \widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0}) \sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right) V e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}} \varphi(h\sqrt{G}) \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} f, g \right\rangle \right| d\tau \\ &\le C \int_0^{t/2} \langle t-\tau\rangle^{s} \left\| \langle x\rangle^{-(n+\epsilon)/2} e^{i(t-\tau)\sqrt{G}} \varphi(h\sqrt{G}) \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} f \right\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(1+\epsilon)/2}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}g\right\|_{L^2}d\tau$$
$$+C\int_0^{t/2}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-1-\epsilon}e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}f\right\|_{L^2}$$
$$\times\langle t-\tau\rangle^{s}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}g\right\|_{L^2}d\tau$$
$$\leq C\gamma\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\tau\rangle^{2s}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}f\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau$$
$$+C\gamma^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(1+\epsilon)/2}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}g\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau$$
$$+C\gamma\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\tau\rangle^{1+\epsilon}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-1-\epsilon}e^{i(t-\tau)\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}f\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau$$
$$+C\gamma^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1-\epsilon}\langle t-\tau\rangle^{2s}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}g\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau$$
$$\leq C\gamma\|f\|_{L^2}^2+C\gamma^{-1}\|g\|_{L^2}^2=2C\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^2},\tag{3.24}$$

if we take $\gamma=\|g\|_{L^2}/\|f\|_{L^2}$. By (3.4), (3.23) and (3.24), we obtain (with $s=(n-1)/2$)

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\Phi(t;h)\langle x\rangle^{-s-1/2-\epsilon}f\right\|_{L^2}$$
$$\leq O(h^2)\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n+1)/2-\epsilon}\Phi(t;h)\langle x\rangle^{-s-1/2-\epsilon}f\right\|_{L^2}+O(h)\langle t\rangle^{-s}\|f\|_{L^2}.\tag{3.25}$$

Hence, there exists a constant $0<h_0<1$ so that for $0<h\leq h_0$ we can absorbe the first term in the RHS of (3.25), thus obtaining the estimate (for $0<h\leq h_0$)

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\Phi(t;h)\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\leq Ch\langle t\rangle^{-s}.\tag{3.26}$$

Let now $h_0\leq h\leq 1$. Without loss of generality we may suppose $h=1$. Then, by (2.1) and (3.19), the norm in the first term in the RHS of (3.25) is upper bounded by $C\langle t\rangle^{-s}\|f\|_{L^2}$, which again implies (3.26). By (2.1) and (3.26), we have

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\leq C\langle t\rangle^{-s},\quad\forall t,\ 0<h\leq 1,\tag{3.27}$$

where $s=(n-1)/2$. By the same interpolation argument as above, we conclude that (3.27) holds for all $0\leq s\leq(n-1)/2$. We will show now that this implies (3.18) with $s=(n-1)/2$. To this end, we will proceed in a way similar to that one in Section 3 of [11] which is based on the commutator relation

$$-2\Delta+[r\partial_r,\Delta]=0,\tag{3.28}$$

where $r=|x|$ is the radial variable. In the same way, one can show that (3.27) implies

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\mathcal{D}_re^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\leq C\langle t\rangle^{-s},\quad\forall t,\ 0<h\leq 1,\tag{3.29}$$

for all $0\leq s\leq(n-1)/2$, where $\mathcal{D}_r:=\langle r\rangle^{-1}rh\partial_r$. Furthermore, by Duhamel's formula and (3.28), we have the identity

$$\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})[r\partial_r,e^{it\sqrt{G}}]\varphi(h\sqrt{G})=i\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})\frac{\sin\left(t\sqrt{G}\right)}{\sqrt{G}}[r\partial_r,\sqrt{G}]\varphi(h\sqrt{G})$$

$$
-\int_0^t \varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})\frac{\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G}\right)}{\sqrt{G}}[r\partial_r, G]e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})d\tau
$$

$$
= i\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})\frac{\sin\left(t\sqrt{G}\right)}{\sqrt{G}}[r\partial_r, \sqrt{G}]\varphi(h\sqrt{G})
$$

$$
-\int_0^t \varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})\frac{\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G}\right)}{\sqrt{G}}\left(2G - 2V + r\partial_r V - Vr\partial_r\right)e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})d\tau
$$

$$
= i\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})\frac{\sin\left(t\sqrt{G}\right)}{\sqrt{G}}r\partial_r\sqrt{G}\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) - i\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})\sin\left(t\sqrt{G}\right)r\partial_r\varphi(h\sqrt{G})
$$

$$
+ite^{it\sqrt{G}}\sqrt{G}\varphi(h\sqrt{G}) + 2i\sin\left(t\sqrt{G}\right)\varphi(h\sqrt{G})
$$

$$
-\int_0^t \varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})\frac{\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G}\right)}{\sqrt{G}}\left(-V + \partial_r rV - Vr\partial_r\right)e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})d\tau,
$$

where the functions φ and φ_1 are as above. Set $\varphi_2(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}\varphi(\sigma)$, $\widetilde{\varphi}_1(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}\varphi_1(\sigma)$. From the above identity we get (with $s = (n-1)/2$)

$$
it\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon} = -2ih\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\sin\left(t\sqrt{G}\right)\varphi_2(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}
$$

$$
+i\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G})\sin\left(t\sqrt{G}\right)(\mathcal{D}_r^* + O(h))\langle x\rangle^{-s+1-\epsilon}\left(\langle x\rangle^{s+\epsilon}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\right)
$$

$$
-i\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})\sin\left(t\sqrt{G}\right)(\mathcal{D}_r^* + O(h))\langle x\rangle^{-s+1-\epsilon}\left(\langle x\rangle^{s+\epsilon}\varphi_2(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\right)
$$

$$
+\left(\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{s+\epsilon}\right)\langle x\rangle^{-s+1-\epsilon}\mathcal{D}_r e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi_2(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}
$$

$$
+\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\varphi_1(h\sqrt{G})e^{it\sqrt{G}}(\mathcal{D}_r^* + O(h))\langle x\rangle^{-s+1-\epsilon}\left(\langle x\rangle^{s+\epsilon}\varphi_2(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\right)
$$

$$
+h^2\int_0^t \langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G})\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G}\right)Ve^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi_2(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}d\tau
$$

$$
+h\int_0^t \langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G})\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G}\right)(\mathcal{D}_r^* + O(h))\langle r\rangle Ve^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi_2(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}d\tau
$$

$$
+h\int_0^t \langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G})\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G}\right)V\langle r\rangle\mathcal{D}_r e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi_2(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-s-\epsilon}d\tau. \tag{3.30}
$$

By (2.27), (3.27) and (3.29), we have that the $L^2 \to L^2$ norm of each of the first five terms in the RHS of (3.30) is upper bounded by $O(\langle t\rangle^{-s+1})$. In the same way, taking into acount (1.1), one can easily see that the $L^2 \to L^2$ norm of each integral in the RHS of (3.30) is upper bounded by $O(\langle t\rangle^{-s+1/2})$. Thus, (3.30) implies (3.18) with $s = (n-1)/2$, and hence with all $0 \le s \le (n-1)/2$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.5. We will derive (3.19) from the following

Lemma 3.6 *Assume (1.1) fulfilled and let* $0 \le s \le (n-1)/2$. *Let also* $m \ge 0$ *denote the bigest integer* $\le s$ *and set* $\mu = s - m$. *Then, the operator-valued function*

$$\mathcal{R}_s^{\pm}(\lambda) = \lambda \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} R^{\pm}(\lambda) \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} : L^2 \to L^2$$

is C^m *in* λ *for* $\lambda > 0$, $\partial_\lambda^m \mathcal{R}_s^{\pm}$ *is Hölder of order* μ, *and satisfies the estimates*

$$\left\| \partial_\lambda^j \mathcal{R}_s^{\pm}(\lambda) \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le C, \quad \lambda \ge \lambda_0,\ 0 \le j \le m, \tag{3.31}$$

$$\| \partial_\lambda^m \mathcal{R}_s^{\pm}(\lambda_2) - \partial_\lambda^m \mathcal{R}_s^{\pm}(\lambda_1) \|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le C |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|^{\mu}, \quad \lambda_2 > \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_0, \tag{3.32}$$

for every $\lambda_0 > 0$, *with a constant* $C > 0$ *independent of* λ, λ_1 *and* λ_2.

Proof. We will derive (3.31) and (3.32) from the fact that for every integer $j \ge 0$ the operator-valued function

$$\mathcal{R}_{0,s}^{\pm}(\lambda) = \lambda \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} R_0^{\pm}(\lambda) \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} : L^2 \to L^2$$

is C^j in λ if $s \ge j$, and satisfies the bound

$$\left\| \partial_\lambda^j \mathcal{R}_{0,j}^{\pm}(\lambda) \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le C_j, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{3.33}$$

Let s, m and μ be as in Lemma 3.6. We will show that (3.33) implies

$$\left\| \partial_\lambda^m \mathcal{R}_{0,s}^{\pm}(\lambda_2) - \partial_\lambda^m \mathcal{R}_{0,s}^{\pm}(\lambda_1) \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le C |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|^{\mu}, \quad \lambda_2 > \lambda_1 > 0. \tag{3.34}$$

To this end, fix $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$ and consider the operator-valued function

$$\mathcal{P}_{\pm}(z) = |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|^{-z} \left(\partial_\lambda^m \mathcal{R}_{0,z}^{\pm}(\lambda_2) - \partial_\lambda^m \mathcal{R}_{0,z}^{\pm}(\lambda_1) \right), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

In view of (3.33), $\mathcal{P}_{\pm}(z)$ is analytic in z for $\operatorname{Re} z \ge 0$ with values in $\mathcal{L}(L^2)$ and satisfies the bounds

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{\pm}(z)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le C_1, \quad 0 \le \operatorname{Re} z \le 1, \tag{3.35}$$

with a constant $C_1 > 0$ independent of z but depending on λ_1 and λ_2, while on $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$ we have a better bound

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{\pm}(z)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le C, \tag{3.36}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of z, λ_1 and λ_2. By (3.35), (3.36) and the Phragmèn-Lindelöf principle we conclude that (3.36) holds for $0 \le \operatorname{Re} z \le 1$. In particular, it holds for $z = \mu$, which proves (3.34).

To prove (3.31) we differentiate j times the identity (3.12) to obtain

$$\frac{d^j \mathcal{R}_j^{\pm}}{d\lambda^j}(\lambda) \left(1 + K_j^{\pm}(\lambda) \right) = \frac{d^j \mathcal{R}_{0,j}^{\pm}}{d\lambda^j}(\lambda) - \sum_{k=0}^{j} \beta_k \langle x\rangle^{-j+k} \frac{d^k \mathcal{R}_k^{\pm}}{d\lambda^k}(\lambda) \langle x\rangle^{-j+k} \frac{d^{j-k} K_j^{\pm}}{d\lambda^{j-k}}(\lambda), \tag{3.37}$$

where

$$K_j^{\pm}(\lambda) = \langle x\rangle^{1/2+j+\epsilon} V R_0^{\pm}(\lambda) \langle x\rangle^{-1/2-j-\epsilon}, \quad 0 \le j \le (n-1)/2.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.3 above, we conclude that (3.14) still holds for $K_j^\pm(\lambda)$. Therefore, (3.31) follows from (3.37) combined with (3.10) by induction in j.

To prove (3.32) observe that (3.37) leads to the identity

$$\left(\frac{d^m\mathcal{R}_s^\pm}{d\lambda^m}(\lambda_2)-\frac{d^m\mathcal{R}_s^\pm}{d\lambda^m}(\lambda_1)\right)(1+K_m^\pm(\lambda_2))=\frac{d^m\mathcal{R}_{0,s}^\pm}{d\lambda^m}(\lambda_2)-\frac{d^m\mathcal{R}_{0,s}^\pm}{d\lambda^m}(\lambda_1)$$

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{m}\beta_k\langle x\rangle^{-m+k}\frac{d^k\mathcal{R}_s^\pm}{d\lambda^k}(\lambda_1)\left(\langle x\rangle^{-m+k}\frac{d^{m-k}K_m^\pm}{d\lambda^{m-k}}(\lambda_2)-\langle x\rangle^{-m+k}\frac{d^{m-k}K_m^\pm}{d\lambda^{m-k}}(\lambda_1)\right)$$

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\beta_k\langle x\rangle^{-m+k}\left(\frac{d^k\mathcal{R}_s^\pm}{d\lambda^k}(\lambda_2)-\frac{d^k\mathcal{R}_s^\pm}{d\lambda^k}(\lambda_1)\right)\langle x\rangle^{-m+k}\frac{d^{m-1-k}K_m^\pm}{d\lambda^{m-1-k}}(\lambda_2). \tag{3.38}$$

As above, one has that (3.14) still holds for $K_m^\pm(\lambda_2)$, so one can easily derive (3.32) from (3.31), (3.33), (3.34) and (3.38). □

We are going to use (3.8) with $h=1$ and φ^2 replaced by φ. Set

$$T(\lambda)=T^+(\lambda)-T^-(\lambda),\quad T^\pm(\lambda)=(\pi i)^{-1}\mathcal{R}_s^\pm(\lambda), \tag{3.39}$$

and choose a real-valued function $\phi\in C_0^\infty([1/3,1/2])$, $\phi\ge0$, such that $\int\phi(\sigma)d\sigma=1$. Then the function

$$T_\theta^\pm(\lambda)=\theta^{-1}\int T^\pm(\lambda+\sigma)\phi(\sigma/\theta)d\sigma,\quad 0<\theta\le1,$$

is C^∞ in λ with values in $\mathcal{L}(L^2)$ and, in view of (3.31) and (3.32), satisfies the estimates

$$\|\partial_\lambda^j T_\theta^\pm(\lambda)\|_{L^2\to L^2}\le C,\quad 0\le j\le m, \tag{3.40}$$

$$\|\partial_\lambda^m T_\theta^\pm(\lambda)-\partial_\lambda^m T^\pm(\lambda)\|_{L^2\to L^2}\le\theta^{-1}\int\|\partial_\lambda^m T^\pm(\lambda+\sigma)-\partial_\lambda^m T^\pm(\lambda)\|_{L^2\to L^2}\phi(\sigma/\theta)d\sigma$$

$$\le C\theta^{-1}\int\sigma^\mu\phi(\sigma/\theta)d\sigma\le C\theta^\mu, \tag{3.41}$$

$$\|\partial_\lambda^j T_\theta^\pm(\lambda)-\partial_\lambda^j T^\pm(\lambda)\|_{L^2\to L^2}\le C\theta,\quad 0\le j\le m-1, \tag{3.42}$$

$$\left\|\partial_\lambda^{m+1}T_\theta^\pm(\lambda)\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\le\theta^{-2}\int\|\partial_\lambda^m T^\pm(\lambda+\sigma)-\partial_\lambda^m T^\pm(\lambda)\|_{L^2\to L^2}|\phi'(\sigma/\theta)|d\sigma$$

$$\le C\theta^{-2}\int\sigma^\mu|\phi'(\sigma/\theta)|d\sigma\le C\theta^{-1+\mu}. \tag{3.43}$$

Integrating by parts m times and using (3.41) and (3.42), we get

$$\left\|\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda}\varphi(\lambda)\left(T_\theta^\pm(\lambda)-T^\pm(\lambda)\right)d\lambda\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}$$

$$=\left\|t^{-m}\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda}\frac{d^m}{d\lambda^m}\left(\varphi(\lambda)\left(T_\theta^\pm(\lambda)-T^\pm(\lambda)\right)\right)d\lambda\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}\le C\theta^\mu|t|^{-m}. \tag{3.44}$$

Similarly, integrating by parts $m+1$ times and using (3.40) and (3.43), we get

$$\left\|\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda}\varphi(\lambda)T_\theta^\pm(\lambda)d\lambda\right\|_{L^2\to L^2}$$

$$= \left\| t^{-m-1} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda} \frac{d^{m+1}}{d\lambda^{m+1}} \left(\varphi(\lambda) T_\theta^\pm(\lambda) \right) d\lambda \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le C\theta^{-1+\mu} |t|^{-m-1}. \tag{3.45}$$

By (3.44) and (3.45),

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda} \varphi(\lambda) T(\lambda) d\lambda \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le C\theta^\mu |t|^{-m} \left(1 + |t|^{-1}\theta^{-1} \right) \le C|t|^{-m-\mu}, \tag{3.46}$$

if we take $\theta = |t|^{-1}$, which clearly implies (3.19).

In what follows in this section we will derive (3.20) from Lemma 3.6. Let $0 \le s \le (n-1)/2$ and let $m \ge 0$ be the bigest integer $\le s$. Remark that the function $\partial_\lambda^m \mathcal{R}_s^\pm$ satisfies (3.32) with $\mu = s - m + \epsilon/2$. Consequently, the estimates (3.41) and (3.43) are valid with $\mu = s - m + \epsilon/2$. Let $\phi_+ \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$, $\phi_+(t) = 0$ for $t \le 1$, $\phi_+(t) = 1$ for $t \ge 2$. Given any function $f \in L^2$, set

$$u(t;h) = \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} \phi_+(t) e^{it\sqrt{G}} \varphi(h\sqrt{G}) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} f.$$

We have

$$\left(\partial_t^2 + G \right) \langle x \rangle^{1/2+s+\epsilon} u(t;h) = 2h^{-1} \phi_+'(t) e^{it\sqrt{G}} \widetilde{\varphi}(h\sqrt{G}) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} f$$

$$+ \phi_+''(t) e^{it\sqrt{G}} \varphi(h\sqrt{G}) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} f =: h^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} v(t;h), \tag{3.47}$$

where $\widetilde{\varphi}(\sigma) = \sigma\varphi(\sigma)$. Clearly, the support of the function $v(t;h)$ with respect to the variable t is contained in the interval $[1,2]$, and by (2.27) we have

$$\|v(t;h)\|_{L^2} \le C \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad 1 \le t \le 2, \tag{3.48}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of t, h and f. Using Duhamel's formula we deduce from (3.47), for $t > 0$,

$$u(t;h) = \int_0^t \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} \widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G}) \sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G} \right) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} v(\tau;h) d\tau, \tag{3.49}$$

where the functions φ_1 and $\widetilde{\varphi}_1$ are as above. It follows from (3.49) that the Fourier transforms of the functions $u(t;h)$ and $v(t;h)$ satisfy the identity

$$\hat{u}(\lambda;h) = Q^+(\lambda) \hat{v}(\lambda;h), \tag{3.50}$$

where $Q^+(\lambda)$ is the Fourier transform of the operator

$$\langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} \widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G}) \eta_+(t) \sin\left(t\sqrt{G} \right) \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon},$$

η_+ being the characteristic function of the interval $[0, +\infty)$. It is easy to see that

$$Q^+(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(h) T^+(\lambda), \tag{3.51}$$

where the operator

$$\mathcal{B}(h) = \langle x \rangle^{-1/2-s-\epsilon} \widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G}) \langle x \rangle^{1/2+s+\epsilon} : L^2 \to L^2$$

is bounded uniformly in h in view of (2.27). Set $Q_\theta^+(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(h)T_\theta^+(\lambda)$ and define the function $u_\theta(t;h)$ via the formula

$$\hat{u}_\theta(\lambda;h) = Q_\theta^+(\lambda)\hat{v}(\lambda;h).$$

Using (3.40)-(3.43) (with $\mu = s - m + \epsilon/2$) together with the Plancherel identity and (3.48), we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{2m}\|u_\theta(t;h) - u(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\partial_\lambda^m(\hat{u}_\theta(\lambda;h) - \hat{u}(\lambda;h))\|_{L^2}^2 d\lambda$$

$$\leq C\sum_{k=0}^{m}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\left(\partial_\lambda^k Q_\theta^+(\lambda) - \partial_\lambda^k Q^+(\lambda)\right)\partial_\lambda^{m-k}\hat{v}(\lambda;h)\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\lambda$$

$$\leq C\theta^{2\mu}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\partial_\lambda^{m-k}\hat{v}(\lambda;h)\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\lambda = C\theta^{2\mu}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|t|^{2m-2k}\|v(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt$$

$$\leq C\theta^{2\mu}\int_1^2 \|v(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C\theta^{2\mu}\|f\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.52}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of h, θ and f. By (3.52) we get, $\forall A \geq 1$,

$$\int_A^{2A} \|u_\theta(t;h) - u(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq CA^{-2m}\theta^{2\mu}\|f\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.53}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{2m+2}\|u_\theta(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\|\partial_\lambda^{m+1}\hat{u}_\theta(\lambda;h)\|_{L^2}^2 d\lambda$$

$$\leq C\sum_{k=0}^{m+1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\partial_\lambda^k Q_\theta^+(\lambda)\partial_\lambda^{m+1-k}\hat{v}(\lambda;h)\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\lambda$$

$$\leq C\theta^{-2+2\mu}\sum_{k=0}^{m+1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\partial_\lambda^{m+1-k}\hat{v}(\lambda;h)\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\lambda$$

$$= C\theta^{-2+2\mu}\sum_{k=0}^{m+1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|t|^{2m+2-2k}\|v(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt$$

$$\leq C\theta^{-2+2\mu}\int_1^2\|v(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C\theta^{-2+2\mu}\|f\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.54}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of h, θ and f. By (3.54) we get, $\forall A \geq 1$,

$$\int_A^{2A}\|u_\theta(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq CA^{-2m-2}\theta^{-2+2\mu}\|f\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.55}$$

Combining (3.53) and (3.55) leads to

$$\int_A^{2A}|t|^{2s}\|u(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq CA^{2\mu-\epsilon}\theta^{2\mu}\left(1 + A^{-2}\theta^{-2}\right)\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CA^{-\epsilon}\|f\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.56}$$

if we choose $\theta = A^{-1}$, where $s = m + \mu - \epsilon/2$. By (3.56), for every integer $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}}|t|^{2s}\|u(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C2^{-\epsilon k}\|f\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.57}$$

Summing up (3.57) leads to

$$\int_1^\infty |t|^{2s}\|u(t;h)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \le C\|f\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.58}$$

It is easy to see now that this implies (3.20). □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

It is easy to see that Theorem 1.1 follows from the following

Theorem 4.1 *Assume (1.1) fulfilled. Then, for every $a > 0$, $2 \le p \le +\infty$, we have the estimates*

$$\|\Phi(t;h)\|_{L^{p'}\to L^p} \le Ch^{1-\alpha n}|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}, \quad \forall t \neq 0,\ 0 < h \le 1, \tag{4.1}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, $\alpha = 1 - 2/p$, and, $\forall 0 < \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$\left\|\Phi(t;h)\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha(n/2+\epsilon)}\right\|_{L^2\to L^p} \le C_\epsilon h^{1-\alpha n/2}|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}, \quad \forall t \neq 0,\ 0 < h \le 1, \tag{4.2}$$

with constants $C, C_\epsilon > 0$ independent of t and h.

Indeed, using the identity

$$\sigma^{-\alpha(n+1)/2}\chi_a(\sigma) = \int_0^1 \varphi(\theta\sigma)\theta^{\alpha(n+1)/2-1}d\theta,$$

where $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma^{1-\alpha(n+1)/2}\chi_a'(\sigma) \in C_0^\infty((0,+\infty))$, we get

$$\left\|e^{it\sqrt{G}}(\sqrt{G})^{-\alpha(n+1)/2}\chi_a(\sqrt{G}) - e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}(\sqrt{G_0})^{-\alpha(n+1)/2}\chi_a(\sqrt{G_0})\right\|_{L^{p'}\to L^p}$$

$$\le \int_0^1 \|\Phi(t;\theta)\|_{L^{p'}\to L^p}\,\theta^{\alpha(n+1)/2-1}d\theta \le C|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}\int_0^1 \theta^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}d\theta \le C|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}, \tag{4.3}$$

as long as $\alpha(n-1)/2 < 1$, that is, for $2 \le p < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}$. In the same way, we have

$$\left\|e^{it\sqrt{G}}(\sqrt{G})^{-\alpha(n+1)/2}\chi_a(\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha(n/2+\epsilon)} - e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}(\sqrt{G_0})^{-\alpha(n+1)/2}\chi_a(\sqrt{G_0})\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha(n/2+\epsilon)}\right\|_{L^2\to L^p}$$

$$\le \int_0^1 \left\|\Phi(t;\theta)\langle x\rangle^{-\alpha(n/2+\epsilon)}\right\|_{L^2\to L^p}\theta^{\alpha(n+1)/2-1}d\theta \le C|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}\int_0^1 \theta^{\alpha/2}d\theta \le C|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}, \tag{4.4}$$

which implies (1.4). Furthermore, taking $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma^{1-\alpha(n-1)}\chi_a'(\sigma)$, since $0 \le \alpha < 1$, we obtain

$$\left\|e^{it\sqrt{G}}(\sqrt{G})^{-\alpha(n-1)}\chi_a(\sqrt{G}) - e^{it\sqrt{G_0}}(\sqrt{G_0})^{-\alpha(n-1)}\chi_a(\sqrt{G_0})\right\|_{L^{p'}\to L^p}$$

$$\le \int_0^1 \|\Phi(t;\theta)\|_{L^{p'}\to L^p}\,\theta^{\alpha(n-1)-1}d\theta \le C|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}\int_0^1 \theta^{-\alpha}d\theta \le C|t|^{-\alpha(n-1)/2}, \tag{4.5}$$

which implies (1.3).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By standard interpolation arguments, it suffices to prove (4.1) for $p = +\infty$, $p' = 1$ and $p = p' = 2$, and (4.2) for $p = 2$ and $p = +\infty$. The $L^2 \to L^2$ estimates follow from Theorem 3.1. The estimate (4.2) with $p = +\infty$, $\alpha = 1$, follows from the following

Proposition 4.2 *For every $t \neq 0$, $0 < h \leq 1$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, we have*

$$\left\|\Phi(t;h)\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}\right\|_{L^2\to L^\infty} \leq Ch^{1-n/2}|t|^{-(n-1)/2}, \tag{4.6}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of t and h.

Proof. Using (3.4) together with (2.3), (2.28) and (2.31), we obtain $\forall f \in L^2$, $g \in L^1$,

$$\begin{gathered}\left|\left\langle \Phi(t;h)\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f, g\right\rangle\right| \\ \leq Ch^2 \left\|\Phi(t;h)\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f\right\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{L^1} + Ch^{1-n/2}|t|^{-(n-1)/2}\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^1} \\ +Ch\int_0^t \left|\left\langle \widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right) Ve^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f, g\right\rangle\right| d\tau. \end{gathered}\tag{4.7}$$

Denote by $A(t)$ the integral in the RHS of (4.7). In view of (2.4), (3.2) and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{gathered}|t|^{(n-1)/2}A(t) \leq C\int_0^{t/2} \left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(1+\epsilon)/2}e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f\right\|_{L^2} \\ \times|t-\tau|^{(n-1)/2}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n+\epsilon)/2}\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ +C\int_0^{t/2}|t-\tau|^{(n-1)/2}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}e^{i(t-\tau)\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f\right\|_{L^2} \\ \times\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-1-\epsilon}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ \leq C\gamma\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(1+\epsilon)/2}e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ +C\gamma^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\tau|^{n-1}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n+\epsilon)/2}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ +C\gamma\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1-\epsilon}|t-\tau|^{n-1}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}e^{i(t-\tau)\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ +C\gamma^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\tau\rangle^{1+\epsilon}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-1-\epsilon}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ \leq C\gamma\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + C\gamma^{-1}h^{-n}\|g\|_{L^1}^2 = 2Ch^{-n/2}\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^1}, \end{gathered}\tag{4.8}$$

if we take $\gamma = h^{-n/2}\|g\|_{L^1}/\|f\|_{L^2}$. By (4.7) and (4.8), we conclude

$$\begin{gathered}\left\|\Phi(t;h)\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f\right\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ch^2\left\|\Phi(t;h)\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f\right\|_{L^\infty} \\ +Ch^{1-n/2}|t|^{-(n-1)/2}\|f\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall f \in L^2,\ 0 < h \leq 1. \end{gathered}\tag{4.9}$$

Hence, there exists a constant $0 < h_0 < 1$ such that for $0 < h \leq h_0$ we can absorbe the first term in the RHS of (4.9), thus obtaining (4.6) in this case. Let now $h_0 \leq h \leq 1$. Without loss of generality we may suppose $h = 1$. Then, the only term we need to estimate is

$$\left\|\left(\varphi_1(\sqrt{G}) - \varphi_1(\sqrt{G_0})\right) e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f\right\|_{L^\infty}.$$

By (2.34) (with $p=+\infty$, $h=1$), the operator

$$\left(\varphi_1(\sqrt{G})-\varphi_1(\sqrt{G_0})\right)\langle x\rangle^{\delta}:L^2\to L^\infty$$

is bounded. Thus, the problem is reduced to estimating the norm

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-\delta}e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(\sqrt{G})\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}f\right\|_{L^2},$$

which in view of Theorem 3.4 is upper bounded by $C\langle t\rangle^{-(n-1)/2}\|f\|_{L^2}$. This completes the proof of (4.6) for all $0<h\le 1$. □

We will now establish the estimate

$$\|\Phi(t;h)\|_{L^1\to L^\infty}\le Ch^{1-n}|t|^{-(n-1)/2},\quad \forall t\neq 0,\ 0<h\le 1. \tag{4.10}$$

By (2.2) and (2.31), we obtain

$$\|\Phi_1(t;h)f\|_{L^\infty}\le Ch^2\|\Phi(t;h)f\|_{L^\infty}+Ch^{-(n-3)/2}|t|^{-(n-1)/2}\|f\|_{L^1},\quad \forall f\in L^1. \tag{4.11}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|t|^{(n-1)/2}\left|\langle\Phi_2(t;h)f,g\rangle\right|\\
&\le |t|^{(n-1)/2}\int_0^t\left|\left\langle\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right)Ve^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})f,g\right\rangle\right|d\tau\\
&\le C\int_0^{t/2}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(1+\epsilon)/2}e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})f\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\times|t-\tau|^{(n-1)/2}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n+\epsilon)/2}\sin\left((t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2}d\tau\\
&+C\int_0^{t/2}|t-\tau|^{(n-1)/2}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n+\epsilon)/2}e^{i(t-\tau)\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})f\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\times\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(1+\epsilon)/2}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2}d\tau\\
&+C\int_0^{t/2}|t-\tau|^{(n-1)/2}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}\Phi(t-\tau;h)f\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\times\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-1-\epsilon}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2}d\tau\\
&\le C\gamma\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(1+\epsilon)/2}e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})f\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau\\
&+C\gamma^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\tau|^{n-1}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n+\epsilon)/2}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau\\
&+C\gamma\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\tau|^{n-1}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n+\epsilon)/2}e^{i\tau\sqrt{G_0}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G_0})f\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau\\
&+C\gamma^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(1+\epsilon)/2}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau\\
&+C\gamma\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1-\epsilon}|t-\tau|^{n-1}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(n-1+\epsilon)/2}\Phi(t-\tau;h)f\right\|_{L^2}^2d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$+C\gamma^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\tau\rangle^{1+\epsilon}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-1-\epsilon}\sin\left(\tau\sqrt{G_0}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}_1(h\sqrt{G_0})g\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau. \tag{4.12}$$

Observe that by (3.2) and (2.33) we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(1+\epsilon)/2}e^{i\tau\sqrt{G}}\varphi(h\sqrt{G})f\right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \le Ch^{-n}\|f\|_{L^1}^2. \tag{4.13}$$

By (2.4), (4.6), (4.12) and (4.13), we obtain

$$|t|^{(n-1)/2}\left|\langle\Phi_2(t;h)f,g\rangle\right| \le C\gamma h^{-n}\|f\|_{L^1}^2 + C\gamma^{-1}h^{-n}\|g\|_{L^1}^2 = 2Ch^{-n}\|f\|_{L^1}\|g\|_{L^1},$$

if we take $\gamma = \|g\|_{L^1}/\|f\|_{L^1}$, and hence

$$\|\Phi_2(t;h)f\|_{L^\infty} \le Ch^{-n}|t|^{-(n-1)/2}\|f\|_{L^1}, \quad \forall f\in L^1,\ 0<h\le 1. \tag{4.14}$$

By (3.4), (4.11) and (4.14), we conclude

$$\|\Phi(t;h)f\|_{L^\infty} \le Ch^2\|\Phi(t;h)f\|_{L^\infty} + Ch^{1-n}|t|^{-(n-1)/2}\|f\|_{L^1}, \quad \forall f\in L^1,\ 0<h\le 1. \tag{4.15}$$

Hence, there exists a constant $0<h_0<1$ such that for $0<h\le h_0$ we can absorbe the first term in the RHS of (4.15), thus obtaining (4.10) in this case. Let now $h_0\le h\le 1$. Without loss of generality we may suppose $h=1$. Then, the only term we need to estimate is

$$\left\|\left(\varphi_1(\sqrt{G})-\varphi_1(\sqrt{G_0})\right)e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(\sqrt{G})f\right\|_{L^\infty}.$$

As above, using (2.34) (with $p=+\infty$, $h=1$), we reduce the problem to estimating the norm

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-\delta}e^{it\sqrt{G}}\varphi(\sqrt{G})f\right\|_{L^2},$$

which in view of Proposition 4.2 and (2.3) is upper bounded by $C|t|^{-(n-1)/2}\|f\|_{L^1}$. This completes the proof of (4.10) for all $0<h\le 1$. □

References

[1] M. Beals, *Optimal L^∞ decay estimates for solutions to the wave equation with a potential*, Commun. Partial Differential Equations **19** (1994), 1319-1369.

[2] M. Beals and W. Strauss, *L^p estimates for the wave equation with a potential*, Commun. Partial Differential Equations **18** (1993), 1365-1397.

[3] F. Cardoso and G. Vodev, *Weighted L^p decay estimates of solutions to the wave equation with a potential*, Quad. Mat. **15** (2004), to appear.

[4] F. Cardoso, C. Cuevas and G. Vodev, *Dispersive estimates of solutions to the wave equation with a potential in dimensions two and three*, Serdica Math. J. **31** (2005), to appear.

[5] C. Cuevas and G. Vodev, *$L^{p'}\to L^p$ decay estimates of solutions to the wave equation with a short-range potential*, Asympt. Anal. **46** (2006), 29-42.

[6] P. D'ancona and V. Pierfelice, *On the wave equation with a large rough potential*, J. Funct. Analysis **227** (2005), 30-77.

[7] V. Georgiev and N. Visciglia, *Decay estimates for the wave equation with potential*, Commun. Partial Differential Equations **28** (2003), 1325-1369.

[8] M. Goldberg and M. Visan, *A counterexample to dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in higher dimensions*, preprint.

[9] J. Krieger and W. Schlag, *On the focusing critical semi-linear wave equation*, preprint.

[10] G. Vodev, *Local energy decay of solutions to the wave equation for short-range potentials*, Asympt. Anal. **37** (2004), 175-187.

[11] G. Vodev, *Dispersive estimates of solutions to the Schrödinger equation*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **6** (2005), 1179-1196.

[12] G. Vodev, *Dispersive estimates of solutions to the Schrödinger equation in dimensions* $n \geq 4$, submitted.

G. Vodev, Université de Nantes, Département de Mathématiques, UMR 6629 du CNRS, 2, rue de la Houssinière, BP 92208, 44332 Nantes Cedex 03, France
e-mail: georgi.vodev@math.univ-nantes.fr